

From: Victoria Binetti/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 10/12/2010 5:01:19 AM

To: KarenD Johnson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Angela McFadden/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Alysa Suero/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

CC:

Subject: Fw: sestak letter #6

Here's the note from Keara.--Vicky

Victoria P. Binetti
Associate Director, Drinking Water & Source Water Protection
Water Protection Division
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region III

Phone: 215-814-5757

Fax: 215-814-2318

----- Forwarded by Victoria Binetti/R3/USEPA/US on 10/12/2010 05:02 AM -----

From: Keara Moore/DC/USEPA/US
To: Victoria Binetti/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Ann Codrington/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Suzanne Kelly/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/07/2010 10:10 AM
Subject: Fw: sestak letter #6

Vicky - no letter from the constituent, just a phone call. I'm cc'ing Ann and Sue since they're looking at your draft to make comments. I'm wondering if we need to bring in OECA since it's asking for a federal investigation?

Keara Moore
Special Assistant
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Office of Water
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Ph: 202-564-3173

----- Forwarded by Keara Moore/DC/USEPA/US on 10/07/2010 07:57 AM -----

From: Pamela Janifer/DC/USEPA/US
To: Keara Moore/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/07/2010 07:32 AM
Subject: sestak letter #6

I just heard back from Sestak's staffer. There is no attachment. The constituent made comment via phone.

Pamela

From: Pamela Janifer/DC/USEPA/US
To: Keara Moore/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/06/2010 01:43 PM
Subject: Re: sestak letter #6

The constituent letter not being attached could also have been an oversight on Sestak's staff part. I will contact to confirm. Thanks.

Pamela Janifer
Congressional Liaison
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Mailcode: 1301A
Washington, D.C. 20460
202.564.6969
202.501.1540 - FAX
janifer.pamela@epa.gov

From: Keara Moore/DC/USEPA/US
To: Pamela Janifer/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/06/2010 01:40 PM
Subject: sestak letter #6

Pamela -

The latest Sestak letter was assigned to the Region. Have you seen this one?

It's about a constituent concern, but the constituent letter isn't attached. R3 talked to their OCIR contact who suggested that it was intentional and that sometimes congresspeople just summarize the citizen comment. Since this letter indicates their should be an enclosure, though, we thought it might just have been an error.

I told R3 I'd see if you could help us out.

[attachment "10-001-6271 Sestak citizen Dimock.pdf" deleted by Pamela Janifer/DC/USEPA/US]

Keara Moore
Special Assistant
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Office of Water
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Ph: 202-564-3173